EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1955-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN103016408

CASE NO.: 35054

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Needville

ORDER TYPE:						
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	_IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
Creek and south of Needville, approximately 0.4 mile east and 0.8 mile south of the intersection of State Highway 36 and Farm-to-Market Road 1236, near Needville, Fort Bend County TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treatment facility SMALL BUSINESS: Yes X No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on May 5, 2008. No comments were received.						
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. J. Craig Fleming, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5806; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: The Honorable Delbert Wendt, Mayor, City of Needville, P.O. Box 527, Needville, Texas 77461 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter						

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Needville **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1955-MWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHAR	Γ:	
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS WHERE CONTINUES ROWINGING	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine Bnforcement Follow-up X Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: October 24, 2007 Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: November 19, 2007 (NOE) Background Facts: This was a routine record review. WATER Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limitations for Total Suspended Solids, Total Ammonia Nitrogen, and Flow [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010343001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring	Maring Grander and the contract reaching the contract of the best of the contract of the contr	
Requirements No. 1].	Military and the state of the s	

Additional ID No(s).: TPDES Permit No. WQ0010343001

	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002) PCW Revision Nov	ember 6, 2007
ICEQ	00 N 0007	
DATES Assigned		
PCW	6-Dec-2007 Screening 6-Dec-2007 EFA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION	
	City of Needville	1
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		-
Facility/Site Region		-
1 denity/One Region		
CASE INFORMATION		
Enf./Case ID No.	No. of Violations 1	10 ************************************
	2007-1955-MWD-E Order Type 1660	1
Media Program(s)		1
Multi-Media		
Admin. Penalty \$		-
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Penalty Calculation Section	and the same of th
	Terraity Calculation Occiton	
TOTAL BASE PENAL	_TY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1	\$3,000
	The state of the s	
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1	
Subtotals 2-7 are obtain	ined by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	40.000
Compliance Hist	ory 75% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$2,250
	A Land of the Land of the Land of the Control of th	
Notes	An enhancement is recommended for having 13 NOVs for self-reported	
	effluent violations, and two NOVs with same/similar violations.	
		WEDDAM
Culpability	No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4	\$0
		WWW.WA
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	0007990022
2. 83 NO 2. 83 NO		
0 4546 564	t to Comply 0% Reduction Subtotal 5	\$0
Good Faith Effor	and the property of the control of t	
14.400 8		Cultinauv Win
Extraordinary Ordinary		an cu.
N/A		-
IN/A	(Indir wur x)	·
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.	
		-
	0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6	\$0
	Total EB Amounts \$158 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount	
Approx.	Cost of Compliance \$2,000	and the second
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7 Final Subtotal	\$5,250
	ina na jia kata kata kata nattatini na mata sa	
OTHER FACTORS A	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE -3% Adjustment	-\$150
	Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	
		000000
Notes	Recommended reduction so that self-reported effluent violations will not	
110100	overly-impact the penalty amount.	1
	Final Penalty Amount	\$5,100
STATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty	\$5,100
STATUTURT LIMIT	ADOUGH INILIA	+-,
Leeban de la		\$1,020
DEFERRAL	20% Reduction Adjustment	φ1,020
Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O
	D. C. and offered for any although antillariant	and the same of th
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited settlement.	· October 1
Lance of the same	。 - Note that the control of the state of	
PAYABLE PENALTY		\$4,080

Screening Date 6-Dec-2007

Docket No. 2007-1955-MWD-E

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Respondent City of Needville Case ID No. 35054

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103016408 Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator J. Cralg Fleming

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	`Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	145 H	75%
	Other written NOVs] :: 10 t(0) >> 1	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	lifetinos cinem. Listenda Oistana	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	on the Other Report	0%
		se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	_{later} No	0%
	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 2)
at Violator (S	ubtotal 3)		destranta.
N	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 3)
pliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	enches (spin)	
Average F	Performer Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 7)
pliance Histor	y Summary		
Compliance History Notes	An enhancement is recommended for having 13 NOVs for self-reported effluent violations, with same/similar violations.	and two NOVs	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Total Adjustment Percentage	(O-1-1-1-1-1-1	

Screening Date	6-Dec-2007	Docket N	o. 2007-1955-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent	City of Needville		.	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	35054		•	PCW Revision November 6, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103016408			Constitution
Media [Statute]				rusen non-
Enf. Coordinator				a-b-ANTHYROP III
Violation Number				Terreno
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 30 No. WQ0010343001,	05.125(1), Tex. Water Co Effluent Limitations and	ode § 26.121(a), and TPDE: Monitoring Requirements N	S Permit
Violation Description		e permitted effluent limit ucted on October 24, 200	ations, as documented in a 07. See attached table.	record
	*		Base	e Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Ma	trix	2 July 1952 1968	·
	Harm		(A)	VA Automatica
Release		Minor		AND THE STATE OF T
OR Actual		<u> </u>	D	Planton
Potential			Percent 10%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
Faisilication	Major Moderate		Percent 0%	
			1 CTOCINE	
discharged Matrix Flow and T Notes health or t	amounts of pollutants exce Fotal Suspended Solids were the environment has been e	eded levels protective of e also considered. As a exposed to insignificant a	trogen to determine whether f human health or the envirous result of these discharges, amounts of pollutants which nental receptors as a result	onment. human do not
	remainder of the second	NAMES OF THE PARTY	Adjustment	\$9,000
	A STATE OF THE STA		Aujustment	
				\$1,000
Violation Events				
		7	1	
Number of Vi	olation Events 3	182	Number of violation day	/S
	daily	T	•	
mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual		Violation Base	e Penalty \$3,000
	single event	1		
	in the same and th	ml)		
	Three qua	rterly events are ecomm	ended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation		Statutory Limit Te:	st.
\$100,000 to 100,000 to				
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$158	Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$5,100
		This violation Final Ass	sessed Penalty (adjusted f	for limits) \$5,100
enominantaria a compania a compania del propinsi del prop			and the second s	CACA PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.

Media Violation No.	RN103016408 Water Quality 1					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$, Por	OH 7	imita iaste j	Capation states	
Delayed Costs					Managaran da 1		
Equipment	10 (E 1 to 1 to 2 (1 to 2)		تمان المداخل المعاولة عالم	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		Carachine production of the control	The second second	0.0	\$0	\$0	· \$0
Other (as needed)	Carlonia, Phy	Belgin on the past of the little	PLTCCTX (ELLIS	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		لنتنا شاريسانا لازينا	i de la periodo de la composición de l La composición de la	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling			Control of the Contro	0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs			Berlin and the second	0.0	\$0	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0
,		diameter and the street street and the second	<u> </u>			n/a	
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs				1.6 nd sampl	\$158 ling which could h	n/a n/a ave reduced or allev e Final Date is the e	\$158
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample ncompliance ntering	\$158 ling which could hances started. The ce. \$250 A litem (except for	n/a ave reduced or allev e Final Date is the e स्टब्स्टाइन्डि one-time avoided c	\$158 riated the iverage case and the control of the
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample ncompliance ompliance on tering 0.0	\$158 ling which could hances started. Those, see the litem (except for \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e castally is one-time avoided c	\$158 viated the inviriance of the control of the co
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample ncompliance ntering 0.0 0.0	\$158 ling which could hances started. These started the started that the started is seen that th	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e	\$158 viated/then viacopected date consts) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 Ind sample necompliar compliance ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$158 ling which could hances started. These started item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the emanded one-time avoided composition \$0 \$0 \$0	\$158 viated the viated date consts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample ncompliance ompliance on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$158 ling which could hances started. The started item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e	\$158 viated the live of the control
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample compliance complian	\$158 ling which could hances started. The started item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e accided some solded c soldes solded so	\$158 riated the transported date of the costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 Ind samplincompliancemplia	\$158 ling which could hances started. The ce. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e acceptable some-time avoided or \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$158 riated the strategy of th
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The est exceedances.	imated cost for add The Date required	ditional oversight a d is the date the no	1.6 nd sample compliance complian	\$158 ling which could hances started. The started item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ave reduced or alleve e Final Date is the e accided some solded c soldes solded so	\$158 riated the transported date of the costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Effluent Limit Violation Table Respondent City of Needville ID Number(s) TPDES Permit No. WQ0010343001 Docket Number 2007-1955-MWD-E Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming Corresponds to Violation Number:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER

	- 15 \$45						nit Limit				
	TSS Daily Average in lbs/day (loading)	TSS Daily Average in mg/L (concentration)	TSS Daily Average Single Grab	Total Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Average in mg/L (concentration)	Flow in Conduit or Thru Treatment Plant in MGD						
Month/Year	50 lbs/dy	15 mg/L	60 mg/L	3.0 mg/L	0.40 MGD					V C	l.
Jan-07		19.5	67	С	С						
Feb-07		С	, C	С	С				,		,
Mar-07	С	С	С	4.27	С						
May-07	С	С	С	4.91	С						<u> </u>
Jun-07		С	С	4.21	С						
Jul-07	88.39	С	С	С	0.467						
i i							<u> </u>				
			<u> </u>								
								ļ			
											ļ
									ļ		
		•							<u> </u>		ļ
							ļ				
							ļ			` .	
·							ļ				
			ļ								Ļ
			ļ		-						
			ļ							ļ	<u> </u>
					Ç.			ļ		ļ	ļ
	1	I	1	ł.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Name Compliant

Total Suspended Solids Pounds per Day

Milligram per Liter Million Gallons per day

Abbreviation

C TSS

lbs/day

mg/L MGD

Compliance History

Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 0.66 CN600341358 City of Needville Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Site Rating: 0.66 CITY OF NEEDVILLE Classification: AVERAGE Regulated Entity: RN103016408 ID Number(s): WASTEWATER **PERMIT** WQ0010343001 TPDES0027634 **PERMIT** WASTEWATER PERMIT TX0027634 WASTEWATER WQ0010343001 LICENSE WASTEWATER LICENSING LOCATED AT 14206 CHURCH ST. SOUTH OF Rating Date: September 01 07 Repeat Violator: Location: BUFFALO CREEK AND SOUTH OF THE CITY OF NEEDVILLE, APPROX. 0.4 MILE EAST AND 0.8 MILE SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF STATE HWY, 36 AND FM 1236 IN FORT BEND CO., TX. **REGION 12 - HOUSTON** TCEQ Region: December 03, 2007 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: December 03, 2002 to December 03, 2007 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History J. Craig Fleming Phone: (512) 239-5806 Name: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C. Chronic excessive emissions events. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 1 12/05/2002 (175918)2 12/13/2002 (175922)3 01/23/2003 (175926)4 02/21/2003 (175884)5 03/27/2003 (175887)6 04/10/2003 (175892)7 05/08/2003 (35193)8 05/27/2003 (175896)9 06/16/2003 (175901)10 08/06/2003 (302934)

11 08/29/2003

12 09/26/2003

13 10/07/2003

14 10/30/2003

15 12/01/2003

16 12/18/2003

17 01/22/2004

18 02/17/2004

19 03/25/2004

(302936)

(302938)

(251073)

(302940)

(302942)

(302944)

(302945)

(302924)

(302927)

```
20 04/29/2004
                   (302928)
21 05/20/2004
                   (302930)
22
   06/21/2004
                   (302932)
23 07/29/2004
                    (355108)
24
    08/11/2004
                    (355109)
25 09/22/2004
                    (355110)
26
   10/20/2004
                    (355111)
27
   12/06/2004
                    (355112)
28 12/20/2004
                    (383539)
29
   01/21/2005
                    (383540)
30 02/17/2005
                    (383537)
31 03/21/2005
                    (383538)
32 04/26/2005
                    (430233)
   06/02/2005
33
                    (430234)
    06/07/2005
                    (379183)
35
   06/30/2005
                    (430235)
   08/05/2005
36
                    (442365)
    08/25/2005
37
                    (442366)
38
    10/03/2005
                    (471377)
39
    10/28/2005
                    (442367)
40
   11/29/2005
                    (471378)
41
   12/22/2005
                    (471379)
42 01/30/2006
                    (471380)
43 02/21/2006
                    (471376)
44 03/27/2006
                    (500081)
45
   04/28/2006
                    (500082)
   05/30/2006
                    (500083)
47
   06/22/2006
                    (500084)
48
    07/26/2006
                    (522191)
49
   08/10/2006
                    (522192)
50
   09/19/2006
                    (522193)
   10/30/2006
                    (546866)
52 11/22/2006
                    (546867)
53
   12/21/2006
                    (546868)
54 01/22/2007
                    (546869)
55 02/28/2007
                    (579204)
56
   03/27/2007
                    (579205)
57
   04/17/2007
                    (579206)
                    (558869)
58
   05/07/2007
59
   05/18/2007
                    (579207)
60
   06/18/2007
                    (579208)
61 07/24/2007
                    (579209)
   08/08/2007
                    (607944)
   09/27/2007
                    (607945)
64
   11/19/2007
                    (595076)
```

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date 03/31/2003 (175892)

Self Report? YES

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 05/06/2003 (35193)

Classification: Minor Self Report? NO

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to comply with the two-hour peak flow limit of 833 gpm (1.19 MGD). Description: Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

4:31;

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to submit the 2002 annual sludge report. Description: Date 06/30/2004 (355108)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date 11/30/2004 (383539)

Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date 02/28/2005 (383538)

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(430234)Date: 04/30/2005 YES

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 06/07/2005 (379183)

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,126(a)

Description: Failure to initiate engineering and financial planning for expansion and/or

upgrading of the domestic wastewater treatment and/or collection facilities when the facility reached 75 percent of the permitted daily average flow for

Classification: Moderate

three consecutive months.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

Description: Failure to comply with the two-hour peak flow limit of 833 gpm.

Date: 06/30/2005 (442365)

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 01/31/2007 (579204)

Classification: Moderate Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 02/28/2007 (579205)

Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 03/31/2007 (579206)

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Description: Date: 05/31/2007 (579208)

Classification: Moderate Self Report?

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 06/30/2007 (579209)

Classification: Moderate Self Report?

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2007 (607944)

Classification: Moderate Self Report?

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 08/31/2007, / (607945)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

NI/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

NI/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF NEEDVILLE	§	
RN103016408	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1955-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding City of Needville ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility at 14206 Church Street south of Buffalo Creek and south of Needville, approximately 0.4 mile east and 0.8 mile south of the intersection of State Highway 36 and Farm-to-Market Road 1236 near Needville, Fort Bend County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The City has caused, suffered, allowed or permitted the discharge of any waste or the performance of any activity in violation of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 or any rule, permit, or order of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 24, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

		·		
	•			

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Five Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$5,100) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The City has paid Four Thousand Eighty Dollars (\$4,080) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Twenty Dollars (\$1,020) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have failed to comply with the permitted effluent limitations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010343001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on October 24, 2007, as indicated in the table below:

- 711

	TSS Daily Average in lbs/day (loading)	TSS Daily Average in mg/L (concentration)	TSS Daily Average Single Grab	Total Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Average in mg/L (concentration)	Flow in Conduit or Thru Treatment Plant in MGD
Month/Year	50 lbs/day	15 mg/L	60 mg/L	3.0 mg/L	0.40 MGD
Jan-07	76.32	19.5	67	С	С
Feb-07	60.21	С	С	С	С
Mar-07	С	С	С	4.27	С
May-07	С	С	С	4.91	С
Jun-07	С	С	С	4.21	С
Jul-07	88.39	С	С	С	0.467

Name	Abbreviation
Compliant	C
Total Suspended Solids	TSS
Pounds per Day	lbs/day
Milligram per Liter	mg/L
Million Gallons per Day	MGD

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Needville, Docket No. 2007-1955-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

A section of the property of the section of the property of the p

The space of the second

2. It is further ordered that the City shall, within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0010343001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Manager, Water Section Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the City fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Delbert Wendt Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

City of Needville

For the Commission

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director	Date	16/2008	
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity is and conditions specified therein. I further ack penalty amount, is materially relying on such rep	ndicated below my signatur mowledge that the TCEQ,	ire, and I do agree to the	terms One for Assorting
I also understand that failure to comply with the	Ordering Provisions, if any	y, in this order and/or fail	ure to that fallow h
timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:			the penulty amabet
 A negative impact on compliance history 	y;	$\mathbb{R}^{3} = \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$	my mint man in the
• Greater scrutiny of any permit application	ns submitted;		
Referral of this case to the Attorney Go	eneral's Office for contem	pt, injunctive relief, addi	tional
penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a co			
Increased penalties in any future enforce	ment actions;		sidence (which has been
Automatic referral to the Attorney General	ral's Office of any future er	nforcement actions; and	हुई अल्लामा है। इस्ते के भी भारती
 TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	by law.	97.3	
In addition, any falsification of any compliance	documents may result in cr	iminal prosecution.	Sign of the state of
Signature 2 Signature		14-08	

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

80.41.5

Magne

Designable Wenter